3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

17 18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32 33

34 35 36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

by this gesture of U.P. because he has not been to the University for the last forty years.

The Senate President committed P200 M for the U.P. College of Law.

President Roman informed the Board that she has suggested to Dean Marvic Leonen to use the P200 M for a U.P. College of Law presence in Makati. Dean Leonen was asked to coordinate with the Colleges of Business Administration, Statistics and Engineering.

16 V. POLICY MATTERS FOR DECISION OF THE BOARD

The President endorsed the following:

A. Revised Admission Requirements and Rating System for the U.P. Scientific Productivity

The U.P. Scientific Productivity system was approved by the Board of Regents at its 1199th meeting held on 26 August 2005.

The revised admission requirements and rating system have been endorsed by the President of the University.

(Please see complete set of documents filed at the OSU Records.)

Board action: <u>APPROVAL.</u>

B. Proposed U.P. Arts Productivity System

The University of the Philippines is home to the largest group of the finest artists in the country. These artists consistently bring honor to the University through their award-winning creative works in the different arts. Many UP artists have received both national and international recognition. These works contribute to national development because they embody a

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

people's deepest dreams and aspirations, articulate some of the grave issues confronting the nation, present insights that might lead to solutions, and offer a vision that will uplift, sustain, and endure for the next century.

The proposed Arts Productivity System will provide both honor and support to the most distinguished and productive among them.

The Committee assigned the task of devising the norms to measure artistic productivity recognizes that artistic productivity in the University is embedded in an academic setting. The artists in the academic community are men and women whose diverse talents and skills in the production of art enhance their effectiveness as educators. In that community, the creative and the scholarly are inseparable aspects of art production and ought to enjoy equal valuation. Therefore, in elevating outstanding members to the status of UP artist, professors distinguished by the quality of their creative output and professors notable for their scholarship in the arts deserve to be judged as of equal stature.

The Committee thus proposes that the Arts Productivity System should include productivity in the creative arts, and/or arts scholarship.

The **UP Arts Productivity System** (APS) is conceived as the counterpart for the arts of the UP Scientific System, and is aimed at encouraging outstanding productivity in the creative arts or in arts scholarship for national development. Deserving artists and arts scholars in the faculty and research staff will receive the rank of Artist I, II, or III. This rank will be a recognition given by the University as an Award, to be called the UP Arts Productivity Award.

Under the APS, UP faculty members and research staff will be evaluated using a set of high standards which will enable both UP artists and UP arts scholars to occupy their rightful place in the international community of artist/scholars. The title will be conferred on only the most deserving, to be determined by a rigid screening system designed by a committee of peers and approved by the Board of Regents. They will hold the title for three years, renewable depending on performance. Thus, only

those who are productive and continue to be productive shall be considered.

The UP Artist Arts rank will carry monetary awards based on the UP Scientific Productivity System, i.e., P120,000, P144,000 and P180,000 annually for UP Artist I, II, and III respectively. This program shall be funded from a UP Arts Productivity System Endowment Fund to be established by the Board of Regents.

General Principles

- 1. The "UP artist" is an artist and/or an arts scholar.
- 2. "Artistic productivity" is understood to mean the sustained production of works of art, such as musical compositions, novels, performances, exhibits, etc., as well as the production of works of arts scholarship.
 - 3. The "arts" include, but are not limited to: music, literature, visual arts and design, film and media arts, theatre, dance, architecture, and art criticism.
 - 4. "Artistic productivity" is measured by:
 - 4.a. publication, presentation, exhibition, performance, etc. of works of art;
 - 4.b. national and international recognition (through awards, distinctions, etc.);
 - 4.c. peer review (through critiques, citations, reviews, etc.); and
 - 4.d. professional standing in the community, national and international.
 - 5. Artistic and scholarly outputs may be mono-disciplinal, multi-disciplinal, and cross-artistic disciplinal.
 - 6. Evaluation will be done by a committee of peers.

1	Implementing Guidelines			
2 3	1.	Nature of the appointment		
4	••	Haioi		
5		1.a.	Regular, full-time faculty members, research faculty	
6		1.01	and researchers (REPS) in active service, and regular	
5 7			part-time faculty (occupying a regular item but	
8			teaching part time) who belong to the arts and	
9			humanities disciplines, and meet the qualifications	
10			described in the attached Rating System for	
11			evaluation of nominees (Appendix A), may apply	
12			for appointment as UP Artist.	
13				
14		1.b.	Conferment of the title of UP Artist is temporary. It	
15			will be in the nature of an additional recognition in	
16			the form of a title and a monetary award.	
17				
18		1.c.	For the first appointment the applicant's output for	
19			the last 5 years prior to application shall be	
20			considered for the evaluation of the application.	
21				
22		1.d.	The rank of faculty, faculty researcher, or REPS shall	
23			remain the basic rank, on which retirement and other	
24			benefits will be based.	
25				
26				
27	2.	Adm	ission into the System	
28		0		
29		2.a.	UP faculty members, faculty researchers, or REPS	
30			shall be admitted into the System to the extent that	
31 32			they meet the minimum requirements indicated in the	
33			Rating System (Appendix A) and subject to the availability of funds.	
34			availability of folias:	
35		2.b.	Applicants to the Arts Productivity System must have	
36		Z. D.	at the minimum an M.A. degree or its equivalent.	
37			ar me minimonr an M.A. degree of its equivalent.	
38		2.c.	The criteria for evaluation described in the General	
39		2.9	Principles will be applied based on the attached	
40			Rating System:	
41				
42			• To earn the rank of UP Artist 1, the applicant	
43			must earn at least 70 points*	

.

2

3

4 5 6

789

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39 40

41

42

43

- To earn the rank of UP Artist 2, the applicant must earn at least 95 points**
- To earn the rank of UP Artist 3, the applicant must earn at least 120 points***

*UP Artist 1

Points must be earned through artistic outputs.

Artistic outputs considered acceptable are reflected in the first vertical column of the grids for each art (e.g., "Direction: full-length play"). The maximum points that each output may earn is reflected in the second vertical column (e.g. "25 pts"). These points may be earned through the measures listed in the horizontal space at the top of the grid for each art performance," "repeat "primary (e.g. performance," "awards/recognitions", etc.). The maximum points that may be earned for each category is reflected in the other vertical columns (e.g. for full-length play, awards, international = "7.5. pts"). This means that this particular play may only earn a maximum of 7.5 points for the category of awards, no matter how many awards it wins. It cannot earn 7.5 points for each award won.

**UP Artist 2

To qualify for Artist 2, an applicant must earn the required number of points through artistic outputs. In addition, some of these points must be earned through the recognition of the quality of these artistic outputs in the form of at least one award or distinction in his/her field.

***UP Artist 3

To qualify for Artist 3, an applicant must earn the required number of points through artistic outputs. Some of these points must be earned through the honors/distinctions. In addition he/she should attain recognition of outstanding achievement in his/her field through at least one lifetime achievement award or distinction.

Honors or distinctions are not reflected in the grids because they refer to lifetime achievement rather than individual outputs (e.g. National Artist Award). At least one of these distinctions are necessary for an applicant to cross rank, from Artist II to Artist III.

- 44 45
- 46
- 47

3

4

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

An applicant who has won such a distinction will always be eligible for Artist III (provided he/she earns the required number of points), even if the distinction was not earned during the period covered by the application. The justification for this is that such awards are usually only given once in a person's lifetime.

Some awards, like the TOYM, TOWNS, Carlos Palanca Hall of Fame, CCP 13 Artists, are not lifetime awards, but are higher than the other awards, because they refer not to a single artistic output, but to a body of work (e.g., in the case of the Carlos Palanca Hall of Fame, it refers to 5 first prize awards). Such an award may earn additional points at the discretion of the sub-committees and/or committees of peers, but it is not sufficient to qualify him/her for Artist 3.

2.d. Evaluation Procedure.

- The ad-hoc Arts Productivity System Committee will produce a list of possible members for the Council of Peers from which members of subcommittees and committees for each art in each CU will be drawn. These persons will be distinguished practitioners in the different arts, who are also affiliated with UP, either as faculty members (regular faculty members, lecturers, retired faculty members, professors emeriti) or alumni. This list will be submitted to the Vice President for Academic Affairs. Members of the Council will be appointed by the UP President.
- Applications will be submitted to the CU Sub-Committee of Peers for the art to which the applicant believes himself/herself to belong. The sub-committees in each CU will evaluate the applications, assign the corresponding points for each application, and recommend deserving applicants to the CU Committee of Peers. The CU Committee will review the recommendations of the subcommittees and recommend deserving applicants to the Chancellor. Members of these sub-committees committees will and be appointed by the Chancellor, drawing from the Council of Peers mentioned above.

1			
2		۰.	• The Chancellor will forward these
3			recommendations to the UP System Committee
4			of Peers which will be chaired by the VPAA and
5			will be composed of peers (artists) drawn from
6			the Council of Peers mentioned above.
			me council of reers menhoned above.
7			
8			• If any particular CU is unable to form such sub-
9			committees or committee for whatever reason, it
10			may endorse applications to UP Diliman, and
11			these applications will be referred to the
12			pertinent sub-committees/committees.
13			
14			• The U.P. System Committee will
15			
16			* oversee the overall implementation of the
17			APS;
18			* recommend to the President the UP Artists
19			from the different CUs to receive the title and
20			the corresponding award; and
21			* regularly review the guidelines for
22			implementation of the APS.
23			
24			• Final approval of conferment of the rank of UP
25			Artist and the Arts Productivity Award shall
26			come from the Board of Regents upon the
27			recommendation of the President.
28			
29		2.e.	Artists who are unable to gain admission into the
30			System upon evaluation may apply again.
31			Evaluation for re-admission into the System will be
32			based on the accomplishments of the 5 year prior to
33			application.
34			application.
	2	D	
35	3.	кеарі	plication
36		•	
37		3.a.	UP Artists shall be evaluated every three years on
38			the following basis:
39			
40		٠	For Artist 1, the applicant must earn 55 points
41		٠	For Artist 2, the applicant must earn 70 points
42		•	For Artist 3, the applicant must earn 85 points
42		-	
CF-			

.

following the same criteria and measures described 2 in Section 2.c. above. 3 4 5 3.b. Artists who are unable to gain re-admission into the System upon evaluation after the 3-year period 6 7 may apply again. Evaluation for re-admission into the System will be based on the accomplishments of 8 9 the 3 years prior to application. 10 11 (Please see complete set of documents filed at OSU Records.) 12 13 14 **Board action:** APPROVAL. 15 16 **Request of the following Fellows for Waiver of Equity Charge:** 17 С. Ms. MYRA VINA AGATEP-VALMORIA, Ms. CHERUBIM A. 18 QUIZON, Ms. VIRGINIA CRUZ-LOCSIN, Dr. PETER L. CHUA, 19 and Dr. RICARDO C.H. DEL ROSARIO 20 21 The following fellows: Ms. MYRA VINA AGATEP-22 VALMORIA, Ms. CHERUBIM A. QUIZON, Ms. VIRGINIA CRUZ-23 LOCSIN, and Dr. PETER L. CHUA have already settled their 24 principal contractual obligations with the University in full 25 (interests and charges not included) and request that the equity 26 charge be waived in their favor. 27 28 29 Dr. RICARDO C.H. DEL ROSARIO who has resigned from the University effective 1 June 2008 also requested a waiver of 30 31 equity charge. 32 At its 1228th meeting held on 19 December 2007, the 33 Board of Regents approved the grant of amnesty on all interests 34 and penalties during the whole U.P. Centennial year (2008), as 35 an incentive for early settlement of obligations by reneging 36 37 fellows. 38 39 40 **Board** action: APPROVAL. 41 42 43 44

The points for each artist rank must be earned